



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/782,198 02/19/2004 Thomas A. Capritta 113.2172 4098

39682 7590 07/09/2007
THE TORPY GROUP, P.L.
202 N. HARBOR CITY BLVD.
SUITE 200
MELBOURNE, FL 32935

EXAMINER

LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3782

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/09/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/782,198

Applicant(s)

CAPRITTA, THOMAS A.

Examiner

Justin M. Larson

Art Unit

3782

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Justin M. Larson.

(3) Mark R. Malek.

(2) Nathan J. Newhouse.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yu-Hsien, Simmons, Kessler.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner suggested that amending the claims to mention that the clip member was L-shaped, rigid, and non-hinged, or something to that effect, would define over Yu-Hsien which teaches a hinged, flexible clip. Mr. Malek mentioned adding the idea of a frangible connection between the cap and the clip to define over Simmons. Examiner pointed out that Kessler taught such a frangible connection and would still be combined with Simmons.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required